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| **SUPERVISOR REVIEW OF THE BACHELOR PROJECT**  Study programme: **Electronics** | **3ročBc**  **vedúci** |

First name, last name of the student:

Title of the bachelor thesis:

First name, last name, title of the supervisor:

**Rating of the bachelor thesis** (rating in points):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria:** | **Points:** | **What is rated in the criteria:** | **Assigned points:** |
| 1. **Work results from the first semester** | 0-20 p. | What was the quality of the presented results in the report from the work within compulsory subject Bachelor project 1 (grade granted in the first semester)?  A:20 points, B:16 points, C:12 points, D:8 points, E:4 points |  |
| 1. **Work results after two semesters** | 0-50 p. | What is the overall quality of the project’s results presented in the bachelor thesis? What is the nature of the project, how difficult work did it required? Did the student fulfil the assignment of the project? Can the results be further used – at what conditions, limitations? Is the proposed solution applicable, at what degree? How difficult was the project? |  |
| 1. **Work on the project** | 0-20 p. | Was the student active during the whole project? Was he creative? What was the level of communication and professional consultations? Was the project completed in sufficient time before submission? Did the student consult its definitive contents? How actively did the student work at researching information sources? What is the overall level of his work with literature? |  |
| 1. **Formal level of the solution report** | 0-10 p. | Does the thesis contain all the requirements (cover, title page, etc.)? Is it clear and succinct? Does it have an appropriate structure? What is the language level of the text, adequacy of the project extent? Is the editing of the document good? If the assignment required, did the student worked up and submitted the thesis or technical documentation also in the electronic form? |  |
| **SUM OF THE ASSIGNED POINTS:** | | |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Originality check protocol – results, opinion of the supervisor: |

|  |
| --- |
| Verbal assessment for point no.: (**if necessary;** in case of insufficient space, continue on the next page) |

|  |
| --- |
| Grade rating *(circle the rating of the bachelor thesis)*  **A <92-100>, B <83-92), C <74-83), D <65-74), E <56-65), FX <0-56)**  **A**: excellent (outstanding results), **B**: very good (commendable results), **C**: good (average results), **D**: satisfactory (acceptable results), **E**: sufficient (results fulfil the minimum criteria), **FX**: insufficient (more work is required) |

I **recommend** / **do not recommend** the bachelor thesis for defence (delete as appropriate).

Date ...................................................

signature of the supervisor